UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ELI MISTOVICH, Jr.)	
Plaintiff	j –	
) C	IVIL ACTION NO. 04-12340-EFH
V.)	
)	
ELIZABETH BOWDEN, STEPHEN)	
URBAN, STEPHEN NEVERO and)	
ALISON LEATON,)	•
Defendants)	
)	
	_)	

AFFIDAVIT OF PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL AUTHENTICATING SUMMARY JUDGMENT OPPOSITION MATERIALS

Frank J. Teague deposes and says as follows:

- I am counsel of record for the Plaintiff Eli Mistovich, Jr. This affidavit is submitted for the purpose of authenticating materials in opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment.
- Attached hereto as Opposition Exhibit 1 is a true and accurate copy of certain pages of the deposition of Plaintiff Eli Mistovich Jr. taken on September 22, 2005.
- Attached hereto as Opposition Exhibit 2 is a true and accurate copy of certain pages of the deposition of Defendant Elizabeth Bowden taken on September 27, 2005.
- 4. Attached hereto as Opposition Exhibit 3 is a true and accurate copy of certain

pages of the deposition of Defendant Stephen Urban taken on September 14, 2005.

- 5. Attached hereto as Opposition Exhibit 4 is a true and accurate copy of certain pages of the deposition of Defendant Stephen Neverro taken on September 15, 2005.
- 6. Attached hereto as Opposition Exhibit 5 is a true and accurate copy of certain pages of the deposition of Alison Leaton taken on September 29, 2005.
- 7. Attached hereto as Opposition Exhibit 6 are true and accurate copies of Deposition Exhibits 1,2,5,6,8,11,18-22, and 28-32 marked for identification during the above depositions.

Signed under penalties of perjury this 28th day of November, 2005.

ank J. Teague, BBO#

Frank J. Teague & Associates One Liberty Square, 4th Floor

Boston, MA 02109 (617) 350-7700

OPPOSITION EXHIBIT 1 – Deposition of Plaintiff Eli Mistovich, Jr.

_	
	1
1	VOLUME: I
2	PAGES: 1 - 330
3	EXHIBITS: 11 - 27
4	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
5	MIDDLESEX, ss. SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-3746
6	x
7	ELI MISTOVICH, JR., Plaintiff,
8	vs.
9	ELIZABETH BOWDEN, STEPHEN URBAN,
10	STEPHEN NEVERO, AND ALISON LEATON, Defendants.
11	x
12	DEPOSITION OF ELI MISTOVICH, JR.
13	Thursday, September 22, 2005, 11:30 a.m.
14	Prince, Lobel, Glovsky & Tye, LLP
15	585 Commercial Street
16	Boston, Massachusetts 02109
17	
18	Reporter: Deborah L. Maren, RPR
19	LegaLink Boston
20	320 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02210
21	(617) 542-0039
22	
23	
24	

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	FRANK J. TEAGUE & ASSOCIATES	
4	By Frank J. Teague, Esq.	
5	One Liberty Square, 4th Floor	
6	Boston, Massachusetts 02109	
7	Counsel for Plaintiff	
8		
9	PRINCE, LOBEL, GLOVSKY & TYE, LLP	
10	By Laurie F. Rubin, Esq.	
11	585 Commercial Street	
12	Boston, Massachusetts 02109	
13	Counsel for the Defendants	
14		
15	RICHARD A. DAVEY, ESQ.	
16	Massachusetts Bay Commuter Railroad Company	
17	89 South Street, 8th Floor	
18	Boston, Massachusetts 02111	
19	Counsel for MBCR	
20		
21		
22		
23	•	
24		

Eli Mistovich, Jr.

09/22/2005

1	INDEX	3
		RECT RECROSS
2	·	teci recross
3	ELI MISTOVICH, JR.	
4	By Ms. Rubin 4 326	·
5	By Mr. Teague 301	
6	EXHIBITS	·
7	Number Description	Page
8	11 Document	206
9	12 Complaint	234
10	13 Effective interviewing techniques	238
11	14 Receipt	239
12	15 Diversity policy	239
13	16 MBCR human resource policy	240
14	17 Code of conduct policy	240
15	18 Position description	247
16	19 Interview schedule	249
17	20 List	251
18	21 Interview schedule	255
19	22 List of interviews	271
20	23 Schedule	272
21	24 Initial disclosure	275
22	25 Estimate	296
23	26 Estimate	297
24	27 Estimate	297

	23
1	documents home with you that were related to MBCR?
2	A. No.
3	Q. After you left MBCR, did you take any
4	records home with you relating to MBCR business?
5	A. No.
6	Q. After you left MBCR, did you ask anybody at
7	Mass. Bay Commuter Rail to get you any records?
8	A. No.
9	Q. I'd like to turn to some questions about
10	your education and training and experience. Would you
11	begin by first telling me about your educational
12	background.
13	A. High school? College? What would you
14	like?
15	Q. When did you graduate high school?
16	A. 1970.
17	Q. And did you get a degree from college?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. What college did you go to?
20	A. Northeastern University in Boston.
21	Q. And what degree did you get?
22	A. Bachelor of science with honors, civil
23	engineering.
24	Q. And when was that?

1	Α.	1975.
2	Q.	Had you received any other degrees other
3	than those,	the high school diploma and the bachelor of
4	science?	
5	Α.	No.
6	Q.	And what was your first job after getting
7	your bachel	or of science?
8	Α.	Penn Central Railroad, management trainee.
9	Q.	And how long did you work with Penn
10	Central?	
11	A.	A little less than one year.
12	Q.	And then what was your next job?
13	A.	Assistant supervisor in Stamford,
14	Connecticut	• ·
15	Q.	And was that also for Penn Central?
1,6	A.	By then Penn Central had become Conrail
17	Consolidate	d Rail Corporation.
18	Q.	And when was that that you became an
19	assistant -	- was it assistant superintendent?
20	A.	Assistant supervisor.
21	Q.	Supervisor at Conrail?
22	A.	Summer of 1976.
23	Q.	And what was your next position after that?
24	A.	Assistant supervisor at Warren,

		25
1	Pennsylvani	!
2	Q.	Again for Conrail?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	And when was that?
5	A.	From the autumn of 1976 until the spring of
6	1977.	
7	Q.	And what was your next job after that?
8	A.	Track supervisor at Beacon Park in Boston.
9	Q.	And is Beacon Park the name of the
10	location?	
11	A.	It's the yard over in Allston, the Allston
12	section of	Boston.
13	Q.	And was that still with Conrail?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	And what period of time did you serve in
16	that positi	on?
17	A.	For the remainder of 1977.
18	Q.	And what was your next position after
19	that?	
20	A.	Amtrak project engineer.
21	Q.	And when did you take that position?
22	Α.	In January of 1978.
23	Q.	And how long were you the Amtrak project
24	engineer?	
		•

		26
1	A.	One year.
2	Q.	And then what's your next position after
3	that?	
4	A.	Assistant production engineer.
5	Q.	Was that also with Amtrak?
6 .	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And how long were you in that position?
8	A.	One year.
9	Q.	Through the end of '79?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	And what was your next position?
12	Α.	Engineer track.
13	Q.	Still with Amtrak?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	And when did you serve in that position?
16	Α.	1980 to 1986.
17	Q.	And what was your next position after that?
18	Α.	Assistant division engineer.
19	Q.	Still with Amtrak?
20	Α.	Amtrak commuter rail.
21	Q.	And when were you in that position?
22	Α.	1987 to 19 to 2003 when MBCR assumed the
23	contract.	
24	Q.	And are you currently working?

t	28
1	positions?
2	A. I don't remember the exact amount.
3	Q. Do you recall approximately?
4	A. I think that's been provided to you. I
5	don't I don't remember exactly. I'm sure you have
6	that. We provided that to you. I can't remember
7	exactly. It was I don't know. I'd be guessing. I
8	can't remember.
9	Q. How did you find out that MBCR was going to
10	be taking over the operations of the commuter rail?
11	A. It was common knowledge. This was
12	approximately approximately nine months before the
13	end of Amtrak's contract before Amtrak ended that MBCR
14	had been awarded the contract.
15	Q. And what happened with your employment when
16	MBCR took over the commuter rail?
17	A. Transferred to the same position.
18	Q. And are your duties and responsibilities
19	or were your duties and responsibilities at MBCR the
20	same as your duties and responsibilities at Amtrak?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Were there any differences?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Name each person who you think was

talking right now about just doing an investigation without dealing with the investigation that she did. Just the idea of doing an investigation. Was it appropriate for Ms. Bowden to investigate the allegations that Alison Leaton raised against you?

- A. Not for me to determine.
- Q. Do you have any reason to think that it was inappropriate for her to look into these allegations?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you have any reason to conclude that

 Ms. Bowden acted improperly in the manner in which she
 investigated these allegations?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Name each way that you thought it was improper.
- A. I thought it was, as I described earlier, similar to the Spanish inquisition. I was bought into a room with three people sitting there with no prior warning of what was going to be discussed so I could prepare.

Ms. Bowden started right off, and as I described earlier, took the lead and made these allegations about me. And I just thought the whole thing was a Spanish inquisition, very poorly done.

56 didn't know what to say, didn't know how to respond,
sitting there in a stupor under this medication. It was
just bizarre.
Q. So are you saying it was inappropriate for
her to present the allegations to you?
A In the manner it was done yes

- Q. Okay. What was improper about the manner in which she presented the allegations to you? That's what I'm trying to understand.
- A. To be called into a room with three people sitting there, resembling the Spanish inquisition, and to be accused of these alleged deeds I felt was outrageous based on my 28-year plus railroad career, wherein of all things I've been interviewing for 26 years, processing thousands of resumes, had been -- had annual performance reviews done by engineering and general managers, executive people, documenting that I would exceed guidelines for hiring minorities and females.

One such evaluation was signed by Kevin

Lydon when he was general manager of Amtrak. I felt in

light of my lengthy railroad career, it was outrageous

to be accused of something so ridiculous.

Q. So are you saying that Ms. Bowden shouldn't

- A. Very accusing, not what you would call a fair, impartial tone of voice and very curt questions.

 And the whole mannerisms and tone was very accusing and left no doubt that she had already made her mind up. So it was a charade, a set-up, basically.
- Q. What were the types of questions that she asked that made you conclude that they were improper?

 What were the questions that you were referring to when you say they were improper questions?
- A. She -- one -- one I recall was she was asking me about -- accusing me of, in reviewing resumes, that I'm excluding resumes based on where a candidate lives. I had no idea what she was talking about.
- Q. Do you think it was inappropriate for her to ask you that question?
- A. I think it could have been asked in a different forum. But in the forum with her asking -- and the other two gentlemen there, what their roles were, I don't know -- witnesses or observers, whatever.

To me, the deck was stacked already.

Somebody had made up their mind that this must have been true, and let's set Eli up, for whatever reason. I don't know.

Q. Well, name each reason why you think

- Q. You indicated that because she -- because Ms. Bowden said that if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to conclude that you had discriminated, is there anything about her telling you that, apart from her tone of voice, that you think was improper?
- A. I think it was improper to be accused of something like that. I'm sitting there in a daze, unable to respond. I thought it was incredibly improper, the whole inquisition.
- Q. What was improper about her telling you that if you couldn't answer the questions they were going to have to conclude that they were true? What was improper about that?
- A. What was improper is Ms. Bowden came in with a prearranged list of questions all scripted out. I'm coming in stone cold, not a clue what's going on, heavily medicated with high blood pressure -- a total contrast. It was -- it was unfair, to say the least. The whole process was unfair.
- Q. Was there anything improper -- here's my question to you on specifics. I understand you're complaining about things generally. But I'm trying to figure out for each of those things whether you thought

09/22/2005

Clarify me if I'm wrong. The reasons why you think that it was inappropriate for Ms. Bowden to believe Alison Leaton instead of you was because of your long experience as an employee in the railroad industry versus Alison Leaton being on a short-term contract; is that correct?

- A. Not quite. That wasn't quite my words.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Again, not just that. As I alluded to earlier, over the 26 years, I've looked at thousands of resumes, done thousands of interviews with Amtrak and always in the presence of HR -- never had a problem, was rated above average in valuing diversity, equal employment opportunity, affirmative action, hiring females in non-traditional roles.

One of the vacancies we were interviewing for during this time period was a female who resigned and left the railroad. So don't -- you know, you didn't quite paint an accurate picture. You base it on the entire career. You base it on that versus Ms. Leaton -- it's apples and oranges when it comes to credibility.

Q. But you had only worked for Mass. Bay
Commuter Rail for approximately nine months at this
time; isn't that correct?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

80

person who was responsible for what you say was the lack of advance notice about the meeting on March 26th?

- Α. Yes.
- 0. And on what basis do you make that statement?
- I placed -- when I first became aware -- I Α. placed a call the day before to her to inquire what the nature of this meeting was going to be so I could be better prepared. As I testified earlier, as usual, you never get her to respond. You get a voice mail or an answering machine.
- But you understood that the meeting was going to be discussing concerns about hiring in the track department; isn't that correct?
- Α. The e-mail she had sent the day previous, which I got the Thursday morning, said there was going to be a meeting to address concerns over hiring in the track department, I think.
- So you understood, going to this meeting, Q. that you were going to be discussing concerns about hiring in the track department; isn't that correct?
- But nowhere near the nature of the meeting as it degenerated, no. I had no idea -- inkling what was cominq. No.

Case 1:04-cv-12340-EFH

1.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Eli Mistovich, Jr.

o	7
റ	.I.

- That wasn't my question. My question was: Q. When you went into the meeting, you understood that you were going to be talking about concerns about hiring in the track department; isn't that correct?
 - That's what the e-mail said. Α.
- Q. And you knew that before going into the meeting?
- I tried to get more information to Α. Yes. elaborate with Bowden. I also -- now, I also -- I'm glad you brought this up. That same day, failing to hear back from Ms. Bowden, my only other contact on this matter was Alison Leaton.

I called Alison Leaton, asked her, What's the nature of this meeting tomorrow that I'm going to about some concerns?

Her response was, I don't know anything about it. You'll have to talk to Liz Bowden, which was an absolute lie because, as the e-mails you provided show, they were talking -- there was e-mails going back during this time between Bowden and Leaton.

So Leaton, after that call, contacts -sent an e-mail to Bowden saying, FYI, received a call from Eli Mistovich inquiring about the meeting. said, I don't know anything it, refer him to you.

So that was an absolute lie because she obviously knew somewhat about the meeting because she had been exchanging e-mails, Leaton and Bowden.

So Bowden knew -- she heard from Leaton also that I was inquiring. She could have made an attempt, didn't try too hard, to inform me a tidbit of what was coming down the pike, I believe deliberately to keep me in the dark so I was totally blind sided when I walked into that meeting with the three inquisitors sitting there. It was a deliberate attempt to keep me in the dark so I would be unable to be prepared and intelligently discuss it.

- Q. Apart from this meeting on March 26th, did you ever attend any other meetings at MBCR of any kind where you didn't know what was going to get discussed in the meeting before the meeting started?
- A. Yes. Occasionally there might be one or two.
- Q. And do you think those meetings were improper?
- A. No. Because they were of a routine fashion, and absurd, ridiculous accusations weren't being made singling me out at these other meetings. It was routine business that you transact every day.

Bowden deliberately did not return my phone call, did not get back to me by phone or e-mail or any other way.

- Q. And apart from the fact that you believe that it put you at a disadvantage because you weren't prepared and that they were prepared, is there any other reason why you think it was improper to have a meeting with no advance notice or have this meeting with no advantage notice?
- A. Yes. A meeting that ultimately resulted in my employment termination, I would think it would be common courtesy to give a little hint -- to give a little agenda. It's not unusual to have an agenda distributed before a meeting so that all participants can prepare and intelligently have a discussion and have a productive meeting.

But it seems in this case it was deliberately kept one-sided so I had no idea what was coming at me. And they achieved their ultimate goal.

- Q. Is there any other reason, other than reasons that you've given, why you think it was improper for them to have this meeting on March 26th without giving you what you considered to be advance notice?
- A. Could you repeat that question one more time?

at	the	time?	
au	CIIC	CTING:	

- A. At the time I was shocked and stunned. I couldn't quite figure out what the hell she was talking about at first. I didn't -- at first I didn't understand what the hell she was talking about.
- Q. And did you at some point during the meeting understand what she was talking about?
- A. As best I could, I tried to piece together where this -- where these ridiculous allegations came from. The only contact person I had with anything involved in this hiring process was Alison Leaton. So as I sat there in a daze, I tried to piece together as best I could how I came to be accused by Ms. Bowden of these things.
- Q. And you understood the specific -- at the time of the meeting, you understood the specific allegations that -- you understood that Ms. Leaton was accusing you of screening out black applicants based on where they lived; is that correct?
- A. At first I didn't. At first I couldn't understand what the hell she was accusing me of.
 - Q. But during the meeting --
- A. As time went on and as best I could I tried to piece together what the hell was going on there.

Then I tried as best I could to piece together what she was saying.

- Q. And you understood when you pieced things together that you were being questioned about allegations that Alison Leaton had made about your hiring practices; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you understood at the meeting that
 Alison Leaton was accusing you of discriminating against
 black applicants; isn't that correct?
- A. As best I could put it together, no, because I didn't know the applicant was black. But apparently this one particular resume, Alison Leaton and possibly Ms. Bowden, they knew the applicant was black. I didn't know the applicant was black. I looked at a resume. I couldn't tell from the resume what the applicant was.
- Q. I'm not asking you about Marvin Morgan's resume. I'm asking about what you understood they were talking about with you in the meeting. You understood in the meeting that they were presenting allegations from Alison Leaton that you were discriminating against an applicant because of his race; isn't that correct?
 - A. At first I didn't. But as the meeting went

A.

	91
1	degenerated, yes, I did understand that.
2	Q. While you were there, you understood that
3	that was the allegation that was being made?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And you understood at the time of the
6	meeting while you were in the room that Alison Leaton
7	was making some link between race and where the person
8	lived and their name; is that correct?
9	A. No. At the time I couldn't quite figure
LO	out and decipher what the hell I was being accused of.
L1	I was so shocked and sitting there in a daze, I didn't
L2	know what the hell they were saying. I didn't know what
L3	the hell they were accusing me of. And I just couldn't
L 4	quite fathom why I was there and what the whole purpose
15	of this thing was.
16	Q. But you came to understand during the
۱7	meeting that you were there because they were Alison
18	Leaton was accusing you of discriminating against black
19	applicants?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And did you tell anybody at the meeting
22	that you couldn't respond to any of the allegations
23	because you were not feeling well?

I worked on the railroad over 28 years,

^	$\overline{}$
11	.,

never took a sick day. I've been here no matter how I felt. That's the kind of dedication I have, which was always rewarded and appreciated by prior employers till this cast of characters came in MBCR.

- Q. So the answer to the question is?
- A. Repeat the question.
- Q. Did you ever tell anybody at the meeting that you couldn't answer the questions because you weren't -- respond to the accusations because you weren't feeling well?

A. I didn't know why -- I didn't understand why I was feeling that way. I didn't understand that until almost a month later when I had a follow up with my personal care physician and he changed the medication.

And I explained what I was feeling. And apparently it was side effects. It wasn't until early April, after I was terminated. Only then did I understand side effects. And he took me off the medication and changed it. And I was back to normal. I didn't understand what I was going through.

At the time of this session it was approximately one week after I started the medication.

As far as I was concerned, it says it takes your body a

	93
1	while to adjust to any new medication. I'd never been
2	on high blood pressure medication in my life. This was
3	the first time.
4	I figured my body was adjusting. I didn't
5	know what condition I was in at the time. And I didn't
6	know till a month later or till the first week of April
7	after I had been on the medication for more than a
8	month. Once I was off it, then I understood what I had
9	been experiencing.
10	Q. You know, you need to respond to the
11	question. Did you tell anybody at the meeting that you
12	couldn't answer the questions because you weren't
13	feeling well?
14	A. No. I didn't understand what my state was.
15	Q. Okay. So you didn't tell anybody at the
16	meeting that you couldn't answer the questions because
17	you weren't feeling well
18	A. No.
19	Q is that correct? You didn't tell them?
20	A. That's correct.
21	Q. And what were the side effects of this
22	medication that you were experiencing on March 26th at
23	the time of the meeting?

The medication acts

Mental sluggishness.

to slow your entire metabolism, heart beat, pulse, blood pressure. It affects your mental capability, severe headaches, diarrhea, gas, problems digesting anything. That's the major ones.

- Q. And when you say mental sluggishness, what did that mean? How did that affect your ability to hear what they were telling you at the meeting?
- A. As I described, it was almost a surreal -- like I was in a daze or a stupor sitting there. And I was able to do my routine day-to-day stuff and get by. But I was hit with this.

This was not routine. This was -- ended up being career threatening. I just -- I just couldn't deal with it. I didn't understand what the hell was going on. And I didn't have the mental capacity to -- I wasn't sharp enough to respond or to intelligently have a two-way discussion.

- Q. And so the mental sluggishness that you say you were experiencing didn't affect your ability to do other aspects of your job at MBCR; is that correct?
- A. I was doing routine stuff, the day-to-day stuff. I've been doing it for 28 years. I can get by, the routine stuff. I have enough experience. I could get by.

for your belief for other reasons,	for	your	belief	for	other	reasons,
------------------------------------	-----	------	--------	-----	-------	----------

- A. I believe it was a combination of the life insurance, 401K. And this meeting that she had with City Councilor Chuck Turner played a huge role in this series of events. No question in my mind.
- Q. Now, you indicated that -- any other reason?
 - A. No.
- Q. You indicated that Ms. Bowden had determined that you were -- she believed that you were discriminating against black applicants. Assuming that she -- I understand that you question the source -- I understand that you're not agreeing with her belief, that you disagree with that. But assuming that that is her belief, that you were discriminating against black applicants, was there something improper about her terminating your employment on that basis?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was improper about that?
- A. As I tried to explain at the meeting, I try to select -- I didn't know whether that candidate was black. I try to select above average candidates. I judged that candidate that was the crux of this matter to be a below average candidate based on the resume.

	99
1	That's what I based all my decisions on. I didn't know
2	whether the guy was black or white or blue.
. 3	Q. Excuse me, because that's not the
4	question.
5	MR. TEAGUE: Well, if he's going to answer,
6	let him finish the answer and not interrupt him.
7	MS. RUBIN: I'm sorry.
8	MR. TEAGUE: If you want to move to strike
9	
10	MS. RUBIN: I'm trying to move this
11	deposition forward, and that wasn't the question that I
12	asked.
13	Q. I'm not asking you whether you
14	discriminated or didn't discriminate. And I'm not
15	questioning that in this question. My only question
16	was, Ms. Bowden was head of HR at MBCR; correct?
17	A. Correct.
18	Q. And, to your knowledge, she had determined
19	that you were discriminating against black applicants;
20	correct?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. Was there something wrong again,
23	assuming based on her belief I understand that you
24	think her belief was wrong apart from that, apart

A. I explained to Mr. Urban -- it was the end -- towards the end of winter. In the wintertime, to do my job, I live out of that vehicle literally some nights -- work all night in the vehicle during snow storms. I had a large amount of personal effects -- snow gear and all kinds of personal effects -- tools, equipment, snow shovels, much of it personal.

I asked Mr. Urban -- you know, I even said to him -- I said, Steve, I'm not going to steal a company vehicle with MBCR on it. Can I drive it home, empty out all gear? I'll drive it back that night or the next morning, whatever his preference was.

He explained, No. So, as a result, right out there in front of full view of the entire Cobble Hill office, my coworkers for 18 years, union and management, everybody, in full view of everybody, T railroad operations, the dispatchers, my fellow assistant division engineers, everybody that has a view out the picture windows, I had to transfer this large volume of gear from the company vehicle into this sedan, which was much smaller. I had to cram everything in. It was ridiculous. It was a circus.

And then, upon being transported home by this limousine -- the driver was employed by Paul Revere

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Bus Company, another MBCR affiliate, so they made money on both ends, I guess -- I had to empty this gear in my driveway and front yard at my house.

And after the car had left, my wife came

And after the car had left, my wife came home, looked at all my possessions strewn all over the driveway, and asked me what happened. Embarrassing in front of my coworkers at Cobble Hill. Embarrassing at home to have my wife pull up and see all my railroad gear and snow gear and paperwork -- everything, all over the yard and driveway. Humiliating to be put through that.

- Q. And when you were escorted by Mr. Urban to your office initially, were there other people who saw you being escorted to your office?
 - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. And when he escorted you out to the parking lot, was there anybody who observed you being escorted to the parking lot?
- A. Yes. There were other people coming in and out.
 - Q. Who?
- A. I don't remember everybody because, again, my frame of mind, I was so upset and shocked at just being -- losing my job --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	1	Λ
- 1	•	ι,

Q.	I'm	asking	who	you	recall.
----	-----	--------	-----	-----	---------

A. -- and under this medication. I think

Steve Hansberry, assistant chief dispatcher, came out.

And he had some kind of discussion with Mr. Urban. I

don't remember what the nature was. And he's the only

person I can recall. I know there were others in and

out. And I know there were others seen because it

wasn't -- as soon as I got home, the phone was ringing

off the hook with phone calls from various people. So

people saw it right there and they knew something was

going on. And --

- Q. Who called you when you got home?
- A. Oh, that's a year and a half ago. I can't remember exactly who.
 - Q. Name anybody.
 - A. Probably Peter Wright.
- Q. And did Peter Wright see you unpacking one car and loading another?
- A. I don't think so. But he asked me what the hell happened so apparently the word had been related to him.
 - Q. Who besides Peter Wright called you?
- A. I had many calls the first night, and I can't remember who -- who called me that first night. I

7	1	4
1	Л	

received ultimately many calls of support from -- almost unanimous from many members of MBCR commuter rail management and union people. But I can't remember who I -- again, I wasn't -- I had just been terminated. I was shocked, stunned. And I'm still under this medication that I can't remember.

- Q. Did anybody tell you that they had seen you taking things out of the MBCR car and putting it in another car?
- A. They might have. I can't remember a year and a half ago the exact nature of the discussion.
- Q. And do you recall anybody seeing that -- seeing you move things from one car to another?
- A. Oh. Steve Hansberry was there. And I know there were people -- there were -- in the parking lot there were trucks leaving and going. Some people -- many people were leaving around 4 o'clock, the end of the regular work day. Some were coming in.

Second shift was starting. There was a traffic of people and vehicles in and out. But do I remember? I wasn't in the frame of mind to remember who was there. I was shocked that I had to remove all my possessions from one vehicle to the next because I just lost my job.

1	Q. So the only person that you can recall
2	seeing this was Steve Hansberry; is that correct?
3	A. That's the only one right now, a year and a
4	half later. That's the only person I can specifically
5	recall.
6	Q. And you're saying that Mr. Urban concluded
7	that you had discriminated against black people it
8	was your belief that Mr. Urban concluded that you were
9	discriminating against black applicants?
10	A. That's what he testified to.
11	Q. Right. Do you have any reason to believe
12	that he doesn't believe that?
13	A. I have no idea.
14	Q. So as far as you know, Mr. Urban did
15	conclude that you were discriminating against black
16	applicants?
17	A. Apparently.
18,	Q. When did you first meet Steve Urban?
19	A. With Amtrak. Maybe late '70s early '80s.
20	I can't remember exactly.
21	Q. And during the period of time before
22	that before you came to MBCR, did you have any
23	relationship with him outside of work?
24	A. No.

1	Q. And during the period of time after you
2	became an MBCR employee, did you have any relationship
3	with him outside of work?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Before you became an MBCR employee in your
6	interactions with Mr. Urban, did he have any familiarity
7	with your hiring practices, to your knowledge?
8	A. He might have. I have no specific
9	knowledge of that.
10	Q. And in the course of your employment after
11	you became an MBCR employee, approximately how
12	frequently did you have interactions with Mr. Urban?
13	A. Maybe once every two weeks.
14	Q. And on what kinds of issues?
15	A. I'd see him at Cobble Hill on routine
16	issues. I can't remember the exact nature of it.
17	Q. Did you enjoy a good working relationship
18	with Mr. Urban?
19	A. I seemed to.
20	Q. Do you have any difficulties with Mr. Urban
21	before the March 26th meeting?
22	A. Only once.
23	Q. And what was that?
24	A. Are you referring to MBCR or Amtrak?

1	0.	MBCR.
_	₩.	1770-

- A. No.
- Q. What was the issue you had with him in the Amtrak days?

A. This was an issue back in the early '80s.

Mr. Urban -- I think he was a superintendent. He was in charge of the train dispatches over on the Amtrak intercity side, including South Side commuter rail, which included the Dorchester branch.

And the dispatchers under his supervision refused to give my track patrols time to perform their normal track inspections to comply with FRA rules.

Since we were in violation, I took the Dorchester branch out of service and was summoned over to a meeting to discuss it. And in no uncertain terms, I looked right across the table and explained what the problem was, that it was incompetent dispatching -- lazy and incompetent dispatching by the dispatchers under the supervision of Mr. Urban. That was really the only unusual thing that had transpired between us.

- Q. And did you experience any hostility from Mr. Urban as a result of that event that happened in the early 1980s?
 - A. No. That was long ago.

Case 1:04-cv-12340-EFH

24

Eli Mistovich, Jr.

1	115 Q. While you were at Mass. Bay Commuter Rail,
2	did you have any strike that.
3	Again, now I'm just focusing on the period
4	of time when you were at Mass. Bay Commuter Rail. Did
. 5	Mr. Urban ever say anything that was complementary to
6	
	you about your performance as an MBCR employee?
7	A. Not that I can recall.
8	Q. Did anybody ever tell you that Mr. Urban
9	said anything did anybody ever tell you that
10	Mr. Urban said anything that was complimentary about
11	your performance?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And what was that?
14	A. Somebody told me that and I don't know
15	how they whether it was directly from Urban or what
16	that he had related that his participation in this
17	process in terminating me was the hardest thing he ever
18	had to do in the railroad in his railroad career.
19	Q. And who told you that?
20	A. It was long I can't remember who said
21	that.
22	Q. And what did you understand that remark to
23	mean?

I thought it meant that it was the hardest

	116
1	thing I thought it meant that Mr. Urban really didn't
2	believe that was the proper action, that there should
3	have been some action less than termination taken, some
4	intermediary action, that it really didn't warrant
5	terminating a 28-year railroad career.
6	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever say anything to you that
7	was critical about your performance as an employee?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Did anybody ever tell you that Mr. Urban
10	said anything that was critical about your performance
11	as an MBCR employee?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever say anything to you that
14	was complimentary about your hiring practices at MBCR?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Mr. Urban
17	said anything that was complimentary about your hiring
18	practices at MBCR?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Did Mr. Urban ever say anything to you that
21	was negative about your hiring practices at MBCR?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Mr. Urban
24	said anything that was negative about your hiring

Amtrak. And then MBCR came on the scene.

Due to normal attrition, entering the winter of 2003, 2004, I had 37 fewer track department employees than I had two winters ago with Amtrak. I made this known through the normal chain of command at our snow meetings -- with my meetings with supervisor Steve Nevero.

And after a month nothing happened so I wrote a memo, which you have as an exhibit in front of you, from Mr. Nevero's testimony.

As relates to Mr. Lydon, the first snow storm at MBCR's tenure was December 6th and 7th of 2003. It snowed most of the weekend. And it degenerated into a complete fiasco where we didn't even have enough forces to go out and clean certain platforms and parking lots. And I believe the memo -- it was the worst fiasco of any snowstorm since I had been on the commuter rail.

And despite the fact that at Amtrak we had handled many larger storms in routine fashion because we had the proper forces, the storm was such a fiasco -- including there was one fatality, incidentally. There was a B&B employee, a Mr. McTague who was killed by a -- struck by a train. One contributing factor was they

were working shorthanded out there because MBCR -- Mr. Lydon hadn't hired anybody.

There was a snow meeting called with the MBTA the following week to discuss the previous weekend's snowstorm and to ensure it didn't happen again. During the course of the three-hour meeting, there was much discussion about processes.

After two hours of listening about processes, I finally spoke up and told Anna Barry, the head of railroad operations who conducted the -- who called the meeting, the reason wasn't the process of dealing with the snowstorm. We had handled multiple larger snowstorms with Amtrak in routine fashion because we had sufficient forces. The problem with this storm is that since their arrival, MBCR had not hired anybody into the engineering or the track department. That was the one and only reason for the fiasco.

I told the truth. That was the true cause.

I was told from several different sources that in the -within the following week, Mr. Lydon was overheard
commenting at meetings or someplace by two different
sources that he was not pleased with my comments that -saying the truth, that the reason for the snow fiasco
was lack of MBCR's hiring.

.1	122 Kevin Lydon was not pleased with what you had said at
2	this meeting. Who were those sources?
3	A. That's almost two years ago. I can't
4	remember who.
5	Q. You don't know who said that to you?
6	A. I remember I got it from two different
7	sources.
8	Q. But you don't know who those people are?
9	A. I can't remember who that was.
10	Q. And what is your understanding about what
11	you said at this meeting that Kevin Lydon didn't like?
12	A. He specifically objected to the fact that I
1.3	said I told the truth, that the true reason for the lack
14	of proper handling of that snowstorm was we just did not
15	have enough bodies to perform snow duty as we routinely
16	had for the prior 18 winters.
17	As a matter of fact, Mr. Nevero was at the
18	same meeting. I presented Mr. Nevero I had a copy of
19	that memo that has been entered as an exhibit. We were
20	37 people less. I had previous provided Mr. Nevero
21	as you see, there's an attachment to that letter. It
22	wasn't on this one, but I gave him the names of the 37
23	people we had the two winters prior.
24	It was clear and simple, a hundred percent

1	you were treated by Mr. Urban?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you notice any change in how you were
4	treated by Ms. Bowden?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Did you notice any change in how you were
7	treated by Steve Nevero?
8	A. No. At the meeting, Mr. Nevero tried to
9	dispute the numbers that I was giving him. And I had to
.0	allude I said, Steve, you know, you can spin it any
.1	way that you want. But we're 37 short of what we had
.2	two winters ago.
.3	No matter how MBCR tried to spin it, we
.4	have 37 less bodies this winter than we did two winters
.5	ago. Other than that, there was no other difference in
.6	treatment.
-7	Q. And he was just disagreeing with you at the
L8	meeting when you say no difference in treatment?
L9	A. Yes.
20	Q. He didn't express any hostility towards you
21	after the meeting?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did you notice any change in how you were
. 4	trooped by Youin Index often this meeting?

1	said anything that was critical about your performance									
2	as an MBCR employee?									
3	A. No.									
4	Q. Did Mr. Nevero ever say anything to you									
5	that was complimentary about your hiring practices at									
6	MBCR?									
7	A. No.									
8	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Mr. Nevero									
9 .	said anything that was complimentary about your hiring									
10	practices at MBCR?									
1.1	A. No.									
12	Q. Did Mr. Nevero ever say anything to you									
13	that was negative about your hiring practices at MBCR?									
14	A. No.									
15	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Mr. Nevero									
16	said anything that was negative about your hiring									
17	practices at MBCR?									
18	A. No.									
19	Q. Did you regard Mr. Nevero as an									
20	approachable person, somebody that you could speak to if									
21	you had to?									
22	A. Yes.									
23	Q. Did you trust him?									
24	A. No.									

- A. Mr. Nevero doesn't lie. He just doesn't respond or doesn't take any action; therefore, he saves -- he doesn't spend any of MBCR's money, increasing their profits. In every aspect of his operation, that's how he conducted himself.
- Q. And you're not aware of any other instances other than that meeting when you believe that he lied; is that correct?
- A. There's a fine line between lying and half truths and no response. There's a fine line there.
 - Q. Okay. But I'm asking about lying.
 - A. No.

- Q. And at that meeting, what makes you say that Mr. Nevero lied as opposed to expressing his belief?
- A. Because he was basing it on an Amtrak budget. And, again, I had had this discussion with him multiple times previous to this meeting to the point where rather than -- I had discussed it verbally at the snow meeting in August to no avail. I had to then, a month later, in September -- I think September 25th -- document it with that memo that's previously been entered as a memo saying how shorthanded we were and we need to hire additional people.

other way that you think Alison Leaton wrongfully interfered with your employment relationship at MBCR?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. I want you to name each reason.
- A. The original incident that led to this episode, we had three no-shows. We were scheduled for a day of interviews. There were three no-shows.

During this time we realized because of the no-shows we'd have to consider additional candidates. I had previously sent Alison Leaton all the resumes I had in my possession which I gathered from employee references. And she now showed me a stack of resumes -- I don't know, a dozen, 15, 25, something like that or a stack of resumes -- and said, We'd better start considering these because with these no-shows we are going to need to set up an additional day of interviews.

As I was sorting through the pile looking at each one, this one particular resume, as soon as I was putting -- I'd examine them. I'd put them aside. And as soon I put one aside in particular, she yelled across the table, You're excluding that resume because with the name Marvin Morgan and being from Dorchester, you think he's a minority. That's discrimination.

1 .	this work based on my 28-year career here. What her								
2	interpretation or what her take on it was, I wouldn't								
3	attempt to enter the mind of Alison Leaton.								
4	Q. Okay. So you don't know whether she								
5	believed it or not?								
6	A. I have no idea.								
7	Q. And in terms of your interactions with								
8	Alison Leaton in general, when did you first meet her?								
9	A. Sometime in the late autumn early winter of								
10	03.								
11	Q. And was this in connection with hiring								
12	trackmen?								
13	A. Yes.								
14	Q. Do you have any relationship with her								
15	outside of work?								
16	A. No.								
17	Q. And in the course of your employment,								
18	approximately how many times did you have interactions								
19	with Ms. Leaton?								
20	A. On the phone? Or in person?								
21	Q. Either way.								
22	A. Days of interviews or I mean, how do you								
23	want								
24	Q. Approximately how many days out of your								

	107
1	employment were you
2	A. Interviews in person or on the phone
3	talking? 15.
4	Q. And this was exclusively around hiring
5	trackmen?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you enjoy a good working relationship
8	with Alison Leaton?
9	A. I'd say decent.
10	Q. Did you have any difficulties with
11	Ms. Leaton before the issues that surfaced around Marvin
12	Morgan?
13	A. Not really. I mean, like I said, she was a
14	bit odd. She really went off on a tangent on this on
15	this presidential primary thing. She was really, really
16	off, almost on an irrational basis on that. But I can's
17	say there was any problems.
18	Q. Okay. Did Ms. Leaton ever say anything to
19	you that was complimentary about your performance as an
20	MBCR employee?
21	A. Not that I can remember.
22	Q. Did anyone ever tell you that Ms. Leaton
23	said anything that was complimentary about your
24	performance as an MBCR employee?

Dean	thing	and	the	Map	Quest	thing?
------	-------	-----	-----	-----	-------	--------

- A. That and that time where, you know, I'm going through two dozen resumes, and as soon as I put one -- in the middle of the stack, when she was looking over -- as soon I put one like that, along with the rest of them, she says, You're excluding that because you think being Marvin Morgan from Dorchester, you think he's a minority. That's pretty bizarre. Do I trust a person like that? No.
- Q. To your knowledge, when she made that statement, did she believe it?
- A. I don't know whether she believed it.

 Or -- apparently -- I don't know that she believed it.

 Apparently, I was being set up. She knew that was a minority. I didn't know. And as you look at that resume now, you don't know if Marvin Morgan is a black or an Irish guy. You have no idea from Dorchester.

 Kevin Lydon is from Dorchester. I don't know if he's black or white.

But it was just bizarre. And it was so immediate that it had to be I was being set up. It had to be.

Q. Did Alison Leaton have any reason to set you up?

- A. It didn't help me.
- Q. Okay. I'm not sure what you mean by that.

 Do you know whether it played a role --
- A. I think it may have played a role in her deliberations. That's how odd and bizarre and irrational --
- Q. And when you say it may have played a role, why are you saying that? On what basis?
- A. Because how else can I explain this incredible scenario where she's asking me to sort resumes? And there's a stack of two dozen resumes. And no comment is made as I'm looking through these resumes until I put one there, along with -- I'm putting them all there
- . As I read it -- and during this time I don't think I covered -- during all this time, I'm doing the best I can. I'm reading them with one eye. During this time I'm blind in my right eye. I think you have documentation. I had a branch retinal vein occlusion. A blood vessel burst in my right eye sometime over the winter.

So during this entire time period, I cannot see anything out of my right eye but a red blotch. The left eye has always been my weakest eye. I'm trying to

do the best I can going through these resumes. We got three no-shows consecutive.

And as soon as I do this -- as soon as -the others, nothing was said. As soon as that one was
put there, she yelled, You're putting that one there
because with the name Marvin Morgan from Dorchester, you
think he's a minority. It was absolutely bizarre how
she could make -- that's racist on her part to make that
accusation.

Apparently -- the only conclusion I could draw is she knew it was a minority and this was a set-up, a plant, whenever scenario you want to say. She had to have knowledge of that.

Q. Okay. You've already -- I mean, again, I don't want you to repeat things that you've already testified to. I'm trying to explore new territory.

When I'm asking you -- or the question I
was asking you is why you thought it may have been a
set-up. And you indicated that it may have been because
she was in favor of Kerry and you were in favor of Bush.
And the reason for that belief is because you think
otherwise it's just too incredible to have happened. Is
that fair -- is that what your testimony is?

A. I thought I already covered that.

1			Α.	No.	What	I've	already	said	about	it.
---	--	--	----	-----	------	------	---------	------	-------	-----

- Q. To your knowledge, did Alison Leaton tell anyone other than Liz Bowden that Alison Leaton believed that you were discriminating?
 - A. I have no idea.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

- Q. And do you know what role, if any, Alison Leaton had in the termination decision?
- A. She provided the fodder. That's the extent of her role. And she lied about -- you know, I asked -- I inquired if she had any idea what this meeting was about on March 26th, and she outright lied to me on the phone that she had no knowledge and that I'd have to contact Liz Bowden.

MS. RUBIN: I'd like to have this marked as Deposition Exhibit No. 11.

(Exhibit No. 11 document marked.)

- Q. Going back to the March 26th meeting, did you take any notes during or after that meeting regarding what happened at that meeting?
- A. No. I was sitting there in a daze stunned and speechless. And I didn't know it was a forum for note taking. I didn't bring a notebook. I tried to get some hint or inkling what the meeting was about so I could have a productive meeting. But I was told

Q. Okay. Looking at what has been marked as
Deposition Exhibit 11, I would like to go through it and
find out which of these statements you agree occurred,
which of them you disagree with, which you can't recall.

So I'd like to go through it. It deals with the March 26th meeting. And I'd like to start at page one. And the second paragraph starting with, Meeting held March 26th at 1:30. In that paragraph it refers to the time, the place, and the people who were there. To your knowledge, is that a correct statement of the time, place, and the participants?

A. Yes.

Q. Moving to the next paragraph, it says,
Statement from E. Bowden indicating that MBCR is an
equal opportunity employer is indicated in the policy
signed by our general manager in August 2003. The
reason for the meeting is a report by the recruiter,
Alison Leaton, that Eli Mistovich was eliminating
qualified applicants because of their name and their
home address as is indicated on their resume under the
assumption that they were black.

Do you recall Elizabeth Bowden making a